

INFLUENCE OF FISH POND WASTEWATER TREATMENT OF DIESEL TAINTED SOIL ON METABOLIC ACTIVITIES OF COWPEA (Vigna unguiculata) SEEDLINGS



F. I. Achuba* and S. O. Ohwofasa

Department of Biochemistry, Delta State University PMB 1, Abraka, Nigeria *Correspondent author: <u>achubabch@yahoo.com</u>

Received: September 27, 2018 Accepted: March 14, 2019

Abstract: The effect of fish pond spent water on the metabolic activities of cowpea seedlings cultivated in diesel tainted soil was examined in this study. The work employed the double placebo fold design. Both groups comprised of seven groups of A1-A7 were treated with diesel (0.0 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0%) and groups B1-B7 (same concentrations of diesel in each group treated with 80 ml of fish pond spent water daily for 12 days). Group in 0.0% served as the control without treatment except 80 ml of water. Results revealed that there was a significant (P<0.05) decrease in total sugar, total protein and total amino acid and ß carotene contents of the seedlings relative to control. Also, diesel treatment of soil elicited significant decrease (P<0.05) in chlorophyll content, α -amylase and starch phosphorylase activities of the cowpea seedlings compared to the control. While a significant increase in lipid peroxidation and decreases in the activities of xanthine oxidase, aldehyde oxidase and superoxide dismutase due to diesel exposure were noted. Treatment with fish pond wastewater significantly (p<0.05) contributed to a reversal of the observed negative trends in enzyme activities and levels of metabolic markers close to the values in seedlings not exposed to diesel tainted soil. The study concluded that fish pond spent water is a candidate for the remediation of diesel contaminated soils and at the same time is able to remediate the negative effects of diesel contamination on the biochemistry of cowpea seedlings cultivated in diesel tainted soil.

Keywords: Cowpea seedlings, diesel, macromolecules, oxidative stress, oxidase enzymes

Introduction

The world over where petroleum is produced is faced with contamination of cultivated land with hydrocarbons that are injurious to terrestrial environment (Adam *et al.*, 2002; Clark, 2003; Ayotamuno *et al.*, 2006; Ogbo, 2009; Nwaogu *et al.*, 2006; Das and Chandran, 2011). Among the mostly affected life forms are soil microbes and plants. Plants are affected in a myriads of ways such as depression of seed germination and negative modulation of plants' physiological processes (Adam and Duncan, 2002; Achuba and Peretiemo-Clark, 2008; Achuba and Okoh, 2014; Chikezie *et al.*, 2016; Achuba and Okoh 2015; Achuba and Asagba, 2016).

In a bid to make these petroleum degraded soil productive again, a number of approaches are adopted, which include nutrient amendments (Akujobi *et al.*, 2011; Okafor, 2016), addition of cow dung to polluted soil (Njoku *et al.*, 2008) and slaughterhouse wastewater treatment (Achuba and Erihijivwo, 2017; Achuba and Iserhienrhien, 2018; Achuba and Ja-anni, 2018). The use of fish pond wastewater as a soil management strategy has not been reported. Consequently, this study evaluated the influence of fish pond wastewater management of diesel tainted soil on the biochemical reactions of cowpea seedlings with the intent to determine the ability of fish pond wastewater to mitigate the deleterious effect of diesel on exposed plants.

Materials and Methods

Polybags (1178.3 cm³, 15 cm deep) for planting were bought from a supermarket in Abraka, Nigeria. Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L) seeds of Ozoro local were supplied by a local dealer at Eku, Nigeria and were identified by a competent staff of Department of Botany, Delta State University. Diesel (Automobile gas oil) was procured from a commercial petroleum station in Abraka, Nigeria. Fish pond wastewater (FPWW) was collected from Ugboroke commercial fish pond in Warri (5.5544° N, 5.7932° E), Delta State, Nigeria and soil was collected from a vacant plot of land opposite Faculty of Science, Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria. Prior to the study, the physicochemical parameters of the soil and wastewater samples were determined using the methods of Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1990) and Public Health (1998). American Association The

physicochemical properties of fish pond wastewater and soil sample used are presented in Tables 1A and 1B.

Table	1A:	Physicochemical	properties	of	fish	pond
wastew	vater					

Parameters	Values
pH	5.4
Temperature (⁰ C)	23.5
Conductivity (ppm)	14
Colour (Unit)	Brownish
TDS (ppm)	32
Total hardness (mg/L)	4330
Akanility (mg/L)	94.0
Sulphate (mg/L)	0.35
Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.85
BOD (mg/L)	14.2
Chloride (mg/L)	94.25
COD (mg/L)	62.0
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	12.0

Table 1B: Physicochemical properties of test	soil
--	------

Parameters	Values
pH	6.98 ± 0.15
Sand	85.12 ± 0.33
Silt	$4.87{\pm}~0.51$
Clay	0.39 ± 0.44
Organic matter (%)	0.55 ± 0.22
Total Nitrogen (%)	0.18 ± 0.02
Phosphorus (ppm)	3.43 ± 0.22
Cation Exchange Capacity	5.74 ± 0.55
(Meq/100g)	

Determination of seed viability

Probable seed viability was carried out as described by Achuba (2006). The possible viable seeds were determined by placing the seeds on water. Seeds that floated were presumed to be damaged and were rejected while the seeds that did not float were used for this study.

Preliminary investigation

A pilot experiment was conducted to observe the amount of pond wastewater that will influence the growth of cowpea seedlings better. To achieve this 1600 g of soil was treated with different amounts of fish pond wastewater (0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 ml). At twelve days post planting, seedlings treated with 80 - 100 ml of pond were detected to have good growth relative to the control and seedling grown in soil treated with other quantities of fish pond wastewater. This was the reason for treating the diesel tainted soils with 80 ml each of fish pond wastewater.

Planting procedure

The soil sample was sieved using 2 mm mesh to remove debris such decaying organic matters. Exactly one thousand six hundred grams (1,600 g) of soil samples were thoroughly mixed with various concentrations of diesel (A1- A7) (0.00, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0%). The control (0.00%) was not mixed with diesel. Corresponding concentrations (B1- B7) were prepared but also treated with known amount of fish pond wastewater. Five bags were prepared for each concentration and five cowpea seeds were planted in each bag.

During the period of seedling development, the soil was watered twice a day (morning and evening) for twelve days. Water (80 ml) was provided to the control and soil treated with diesel groups (A1 - A7) to keep the soil wet, while the corresponding diesel tainted soils (B1- B7) were treated daily with 80 ml of fish pond wastewater. Seed germination score was taken every four days for a maximum of twelve days and seeds that did not sprout were regarded as being numb. At the end of experimental period, the seedlings were carefully removed and washed under running tap water.

Estimation of biochemical parameters

Determinations of total carbohydrate, total protein and total amino acids of the leaves

The procedure reported by Achuba (2006) was adopted to prepare leaf homogenate needed for the determination of total sugar, amino acid and protein. Total sugar and protein were estimated by the methods described by Tietz (1982) and Lowry *et al.* (1951), respectively. Total free amino acid was determined by ninhydrin method.

Estimation of total chlorophyll content of the leaves

The concentration of total chlorophyll was determined as described by Lichtenthaler (1987); using homogenate of the leaves that was prepared as reported by Achuba and Ja-anni (2018).

Assay of alpha amylase and starch phosphorylase activities of the cotyledons

Alpha-amylase assay was determined by the combined methods of Gupta *et al.* (2003) and Xiao *et al.* (2006) after heating the homogenate to a temperature of 55° C to inactivate other enzymes. The method of Singh and Steinnes (1976) was used for the determination of starch phosphoryase activity.

Assay of oxidative stress parameters of the leaves

Homogenate for the determination of oxidative stress makers and oxidase enzymes was prepared as described earlier (Achuba and Ja-anni, 2018). Lipid peroxidation was determined by the method of Gutteridge and Wilkins (1982). The activity total superoxide dismutase was determined by Misra and Fredorich (1972) method and MnSOD and Cu/ZnSOD determined by Crapo *et al.* (1978) method. The activity of catalase was determined by Rani *et al.* (2004) method. The activities of sulphite oxidase, aldehyde oxidase and xanthine oxidase were determined by the methods of Johns (1967) and Stirpe and Della Corte (1969), respectively. *Statistical analysis*

The results were analysed by means of one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post Hoc Fisher's test for multiple comparison with the aid of Statistical Package for social Science (SPSS), version 20. Level of significance was set at P values < 0.05.

Results and Discussion

In this study, the effectiveness of fish pond wastewater (FPWW) in amelioration of the effect of petroleum on plant metabolism was detected (Table 2). Significant (P<0.05) increases in the concentrations of the biomolecules in seedlings grown on soil treated with FPWW compared to those grown on diesel treated soil was observed. In fact, management of the diesel tainted soil with fish pond wastewater modulated the negative changes in the macromolecules investigated. This result is supported by earlier works in which abattoir wastewater was used to reduce the influence of crude oil (Achuba and Ja-anni, 2018); diesel (Achuba and Erhijiwvo, 2017) and premium motor spirit (Achuba and Iserhienrhien, 2018) polluted soil on plant. Also, the current investigation is in line with other studies which use animal waste to improve nutrient in crude oil polluted soil that encouraged plant development and yield (Njoku et al., 2008; Agarry, 2010; Njoku et al., 2012; Obiakalaije et al., 2015).

Table 2: Effect of fish pond wastewater treatment on the level of sugar, protein, amino acid and β-carotene in the leaves of 12 day old cowpea seedlings grown in diesel contaminated soil

Concentration	Total Sugar (mg/g)		Total Protein (mg/g)		Total Amino Acid (mg/g)		Beta-Carotene (mg/g)	
of diesel in soil (% v/w ml/g)	Diesel	Diesel +FPWW	Diesel	Diesel +FPWW	Diesel	Diesel +FPWW	Diesel	Crude oil +FPWW
0.00	34.07±1.11	35.75±1.50	20.20 ± 0.76^{a}	22.60±1.47 ^b	3.83±0.17	3.98±0.29	22.85±0.91ª	25.08±1.08
0.10	28.90±1.30 ª	$33.15{\pm}1.19^{\ b}$	$17.95{\pm}1.00^{a}$	19.20 ± 0.69^{b}	3.10±0.22 ^a	$3.60{\pm}0.08^{b}$	20.90±0.45 ª	22.18±0.43 ^b
0.25	25.53±1.32 ª	$31.18{\pm}1.06^{b}$	16.73 ± 1.08^{a}	18.40 ± 0.67 ^b	$2.75{\pm}0.17^{a}$	$3.35{\pm}0.06^{\text{b}}$	19.82±0.21ª	20.70 ± 0.34 ^b
0.50	$22.35{\pm}1.58^{a}$	30.13 ± 0.68 ^b	15.43±0.40 ^a	18.60 ± 0.41 ^b	$2.40{\pm}0.08^{a}$	$3.13{\pm}1.00^{b}$	18.55±0.69 ^a	19.80 ± 0.37 ^b
1.00	19.28±0.91 ^a	$28.13{\pm}1.04$ ^b	14.27±0.51 ^a	17.35 ± 0.76^{b}	$2.28{\pm}1.00^{a}$	$2.95{\pm}1.29^{\text{b}}$	$16.25{\pm}0.79^{a}$	18.93±0.37 ^b
1.50	18.05 ± 0.79^{a}	$28.13{\pm}1.04^{\text{b}}$	12.60±0.64 ª	17.48 ± 0.98 ^b	$2.10{\pm}0.08^{a}$	$2.75{\pm}0.13^{b}$	14.13±0.51 ^a	17.78 ± 0.78^{b}
2.00	16.17±0.74 ^a	25.48 ± 1.24^{b}	11.50±0.61 ^a	16.43±1.95 ^b	1.99±0.08 ^a	2.60±0.14 ^b	12.33 ± 0.74 f	17.03±0.51 ^b

Results are expressed as mean \pm SD of four determinations values with a superscript indicates a significantly reduced level compared to control; while values with b superscript indicates it is significantly higher compared to the correspondent diesel only treated group at P<0.05.

Concentration of diesel in soil	Total chlor	rophyll (mg/g)	α-amylase a	activity (Unit/ml)	Starch phosphorylase activity (mg/min gfw)	
(% v/w ml/g)	Diesel	Diesel +FPWW	Diesel	Diesel + FPWW	Diesel	Diesel + FPWW
0.00	288.25±1.71ª	323.75±10.34ª	21.05±1.22 ^a	24.98±1.20ª	1.06 ± 0.47^{a}	1.18 ± 0.04^{a}
0.10	220.00±7.11 ^b	288.00±10.95 ^b	14.83±1.24 ^b	19.18 ± 0.84^{b}	$0.97{\pm}0.09^{ab}$	$1.03{\pm}0.08^{ab}$
0.25	208.25±3.86°	266.00±4.32°	13.08±0.53bc	19.15±0.47 ^b	$0.85 {\pm} 0.06^{b}$	$0.95{\pm}0.05^{b}$
0.50	201.50 ± 1.29^{d}	258.25±4.64°	12.03±0.26°	17.68±0.34 ^{bc}	0.66±0.06°	$0.90 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$
1.00	192.25±4.19 °	248.50±4.80°	10.80 ± 0.53^{d}	16.50±0.58 ^{cd}	$0.55 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	0.81 ± 0.09^{b}
1.50	189.00 ± 1.83^{ef}	238.75 ± 2.22^{d}	9.63±0.40 ^{de}	15.93 ± 1.02^{d}	$0.47{\pm}0.04^{d}$	0.68 ± 0.05^{d}
2.00	$174.50 \pm 8.10^{\rm f}$	$230.00{\pm}2.94^{d}$	8.68±0.43 ^e	15.58 ± 0.56^{d}	0.42 ± 0.06^d	$0.65 {\pm} 0.07^{d}$

Table 3: Effect of fish pond wastewater treatment on the content of chlorophyll and the activities of α -amylase and starch phosphorylase in the leaves of 12 day old cowpea seedlings grown in diesel contaminated soil

Results are expressed as mean \pm SD of four determinations All values in the same column having different alphabet superscripts indicates a significant difference at P<0.05

Previous studies had showed that the quantities of plant pigments (chlorophyll and carotene) and α-amylase and starch phosphorylase activities in plants are reduced during hydrocarbon tension (Achuba, 2006; Achuba and Okoh, 2015). Similar to previous observations, the current study (Table 3) indicates that FPWW treated diesel contaminated soil significantly increased the concentrations of chlorophyll and carotene as well as the activities of α -amylase and starch phosphorylase in the cowpea seedlings. This result agrees with the report by Achuba and Ekute (2017) who hinted that the addition of abattoir wastewater to soil improved seedlings performance. The observed snag in the actions of these enzymes and chlorophyll content may be traceable to nutrient inherent in fish pond wastewater (FPWW). This assertion is buttressed by the work of Udoh et al. (2012) who reported enhancement in the fertility of the soil by FPWW that improved garden egg yield compared to those augmented by animal manures and inorganic fertilizer. Furthermore, fish pond wastewater has the ability to stabilize soil physiochemical properties (Oyem and Oyem 2013).

The rise in lipid peroxidation observed in seedlings grown in diesel tainted soil is indicative of the fact that diesel has the ability to induce oxidative stress in plant and it is in agreement with the studies of Olubodun and Eriyamremu (2013); Achuba (2014) and Ekpo *et al.* (2012) but this was

significantly (P <0.05) upturned by the addition of fish pond wastewater to the diesel tainted soils (Table 4). The restoration of metabolic status in plant cultivated in polluted soil by the addition of organic waste materials had been reported (Njoku *et al.*, 2008; Agarry 2010). In addition, agro waste as fertilizer was also reported (Okafor, 2016; Matheyarasu, 2016).

In addition, the presence of diesel in plant environment decreased the activities the oxidase enzymes (xanthine oxidase and aldehyde oxidase) Asagba (2010) reported that the production of endogenous heterocyclic compounds due to the presence of a stress inducer will contribute to the eventual depletion of aldehyde, xanthine and sulphite oxidases. However, the activities of oxidative enzymes in the seedlings were significantly (P < 0.05) increased in seedlings exposed to fish pond wastewater treated soil compared to seedlings exposed to only diesel treated soil. Therefore, it can be inferred that the increased activity of xanthine and aldehyde oxidases may have risen from the protective contributions of the nutrient constituents of the fish pond wastewater. Fish pond wastewater had been previously reported as a potential fertilizer and fertilizers that are organic in nature are antidote for crude oil polluted soil (Udoh et al., 2012; Oyem and Oyem, 2013; Okafor, 2016; Matheyarasu, 2016).

Table 4: Effect of fish pond wastewater treatment on the level of lipid peroxidation and activities of xanthine oxidase, catalase and aldehyde oxidase in the leaves of 12 day old cowpea seedlings grown in diesel contaminated soil

catalast and a	catalase and aldenyte oxidase in the leaves of 12 day ou cowpea securings grown in diese containinated son										
	Concentration Lipid peroxidation		Xanthine oxidase		Catalase activity		Aldehyde oxidase				
of diesel in soil	(n	Mol/cm ³)	activit	y (Unit/cm ³)	(nmo	l/min gfw)	Activit	Activity (Unit/cm ³)			
(% v/w ml/g)	Diesel	Diesel +FPWW	Diesel	Diesel +FPWW	Diesel	Diesel Diesel +FPWW		Diesel +FPWW			
0.00	0.99 ± 0.10^{a}	0.89 ± 0.07^{a}	3.79±0.46 a	4.57±0.27 ^{ab}	1.14 ± 0.16^{a}	1.14 ± 0.14^{a}	1.33 ± 0.15^{a}	1.67 ± 0.07^{a}			
0.10	1.14 ± 0.01^{a}	0.99 ± 0.09^{a}	4.76 ± 0.24^{b}	4.67±0.07 ^a	1.13±0.02 a	1.04±0.11 ab	1.48 ± 0.08^{a}	1.90±0.03 ^b			
0.25	1.88 ± 0.03^{b}	1.52±0.08 ^b	4.88 ± 0.22^{b}	4.74±0.10 ^a	1.16±0.05 ^a	1.13±0.10 ^a	1.62±0.04 ^b	2.06±0.08 °			
0.50	1.86±0.04 ^b	1.59 ± 0.09^{bc}	4.28±0.31 ^b	4.46±0.43 ab	1.06±0.04 a	1.15±0.15 ^a	1.61 ± 0.08^{b}	1.74 ± 0.06^{a}			
1.00	2.33±0.09°	1.64±0.02 bc	3.86±0.13 °	4.22±0.19 ^{ab}	0.74 ± 0.11^{b}	1.03±0.07 ab	$1.39{\pm}0.07^{a}$	1.51 ± 0.04^{d}			
1.50	2.62 ± 0.07^{d}	1.72±0.08 ^{bc}	3.54 ± 0.10^{cd}	4.30±0.22 ab	0.58 ± 0.08^{bc}	0.92±0.04 ab	$1.28{\pm}0.06^{a}$	1.38±0.04 ^{de}			
2.00	2.87±0.11e	1.80±0.21 °	3.21 ± 0.15^{d}	4.07 ± 0.07^{b}	$0.44 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	0.81 ± 0.06^{b}	$1.21{\pm}0.08^{a}$	1.34±0.03 ^e			

Results are expressed as mean \pm SD of four determinations All values in the same column having different alphabet superscripts indicates a significant difference at P<0.05

Table 5: Effect of fish pond wastewater treatment on superoxide dismutase activities in the leaves of 12 day old cowpea seedlings grown in diesel contaminated soil

Concentration	Total SOD (Unit/gfw)		CuZnSC	DD (Unit/gfw)	MnSOD (Unit/gfw)	
of diesel in soil (% v/w ml/g)	Crude Oil	Diesel + FPWW	Diesel	Diesel +FPWW	Diesel	Diesel +FPWW
0.00	2.26±0.13 ^a	2.27±0.18 ^a	2.11±0.07 ^a	2.04±0.02 ^a	1.01±0.13 ^a	0.83±0.09 ^a
0.10	1.99 ± 0.04^{b}	2.16±0.16 a	1.99±0.09 ^{ab}	2.05±0.19 ^a	0.66 ± 0.09^{b}	0.85 ± 0.04^{a}
0.25	$1.82 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$	2.02±0.04 ^a	1.91±0.06 ^b	1.98±0.11 ^a	$0.43 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$	0.69 ± 0.06^{b}
0.50	1.63 ± 0.04^{d}	2.11±0.13 ^a	1.56±0.06°	1.94 ± 0.20^{ab}	0.36 ± 0.10^{d}	0.57 ± 0.07^{bc}
1.00	1.51±0.05 ^{de}	1.89 ± 0.10^{b}	1.49 ± 0.05^{cd}	1.73±0.26 ^{ab}	0.25 ± 0.07^{de}	0.43±0.05°
1.50	1.36±0.07 ^e	1.77±0.04 ^b	1.35±0.06 ^{de}	1.72 ± 0.08^{ab}	0.17 ± 0.02^{de}	0.24 ± 0.04^{d}
2.00	1.27±0.03 ^e	1.71±0.06 ^b	1.23±0.02 ^e	1.58±0.06 ^b	0.13±0.01 ^e	0.23 ± 0.05^{d}

Results are expressed as mean \pm SD of four determinations All values in the same column having different alphabet superscripts indicates a significant difference at P<0.05

This study observed no significant change in the activities of catalase in cowpea seedlings in the diesel exposed groups at low level of soil contamination (0.1 - 0.5%) but showed significantly reduced activities at higher contaminations (1.0, -2.0%) relative to control (Table 5). This observation is in agreement with results of Olubodun and Eriyamremu (2013), Achuba and Okoh (2015), Okolo et al. (2005) and Njoku et al. (2012) who reported decreased activities of catalase in seedlings grown on hydrocarbon tainted soils. Also, increase in activities of catalase in seedlings grown on FPWW treated diesel contaminated soil compared to their corresponding diesel treated soil was observed. This is similar to the reports by Achuba and Okunbor (2018) who used abattoir waste water for the treatment of kerosene tainted soil. Same trend was observed in the total SOD activities which is an enzyme responsible for the dismutation of superoxide anions and has been acknowledged to initiate the first line of defense against reactive oxygen species (Ascher et al., 2002; Choi et al., 2002) thus increase in their activities are indicative of the presence of metabolic stress. The observed reduction in SOD activity at high concentrations of the contaminants in soil can be indications of the serious impact of the contaminants on the enzyme (Olubodun and Erivamremu, 2013). Diesel treated soil in all concentrations had a dose dependent significant reduction of CuZnSOD and MnSOD activities in the cowpea seedlings. A similar dose related decrease except at 0.1% exposure occurred in seedlings cultivated in soil treated with FPWW. Moreover, a significant (P <0.05) increases in CuZnSOD and MnSOD in seedlings grown on FPWW treated soil relative to their corresponding diesel exposed seedlings (Table 5). This result is in agreement with those of Akujobi (2011) and Njoku (2012) who reported improvements in CuZnSOD and MnSOD activities after treatment of soil with cow dung and organic waste, respectively.

Conclusion

The current study revealed that fish pond wastewater is able to remediate the negative effects of diesel contamination on the metabolic and antioxidant indices of cowpea seedlings cultivated in diesel tainted soil.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Achuba FI 2006. The effect of sublethal concentrations of crude oil on the growth and metabolism of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) seedlings. *Environmentalist*, 26: 17-20.
- Achuba FI 2014. Petrol products in soil mediated oxidative stress in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) and maize (*Zea mays*) seedlings. Open J. Soil Sci., 4: 417-435.
- Achuba FI & Ekute BO 2017. Effect of abattoir wastewater on the metabolic status of cowpea seedlings. Proceedings of the fourth Delta State University Faculty of Science International Conference, pp. 98-103.
- Achuba FI & Erhijivwo PO 2017. The effect of abattoir wastewater on the metabolism of cowpea seedlings grown in diesel contaminated soil. *Nig. J. Sci. Environ.*, 15(1): 155 162.
- Achuba FI & Ja-anni MO 2018. Effect of abattoir waste water on metabolic and antioxidant profiles of cowpea seedlings grown in crude oil contaminated soil. *Int. J. Recycl Organic Waste Agric*, 7(1): 59 -66.
- Achuba FI & Okoh PN 2015. Effects of Petroleum Products in Soil on α-amylase,starch phosphorylase and peroxidase activities in cowpea and maize seedlings. *Am. J. Exper. Agric.*, 6(2): 112-120.

- Achuba FI & Iserhienrhien LO 2018. Effects of soil treatment with abattoir effluent on morphological and biochemical profiles of cowpea seedlings (*V. Unguiculata*) grown in gasoline polluted soil. *Ife J. Sci.*, 19(3) 051–059.
- Achuba FI & Okoh PN 2014.Effect of petroleum products on soil catalase and dehydrogenase activities. *Open J. Soil Sci.*, 4(12): 399-406.
- Achuba FI & Okoh PN 2015. Effects of Petroleum Products in Soil on α -amylase, starch phosphorylase and peroxidase activities in cowpea and maize seedlings. Am. J. *Exper. Agric.*, 6(2): 112-120.
- Achuba FI & Okunbor G 2015 Abattoir waste water attenuates kerosene toxicity on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculuta*) seedlings. *Biokemistri*, 27(4): 159–162.
- Achuba FI & Peretiemo-Clarke BO 2008. Effect of spent engine oil on soil catalase and dehydrogenase activities. *Int. Agrophys.*, 22(1): 1-4.
- Adam G & Duncan HJ 2002. Influence of diesel fuel on seed germination. *Environ. Pollut.*, 120: 363-370.
- Agarry SE Owabor CN & Yusuf RO 2010. Bioremediation of soil artificially contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbon oil mixtures: evaluation of the use of animal manure and chemical fertilizer. *Bioremed. J.*, 14(4): 189-195.
- Akujobi CO, Onyeagba RA, Nwaugo VO & Odu NN 2011. Protein and chlorophyll contents of *Solanum melongena* on *Diesel Oil Polluted Soil Amended with Nutrient Supplements. Curr. Res. J. Biol. Sci.*, 3(5): 516-520.
- Alscher RG, Erturk N & Heath LS. 2002. Role of superoxide dismutases (SODs) in controlling oxidative stress in plants. J. Exper. Bot., 53(372): 1331-1341.
- American Public Health Association 1998. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 16th Edition. New York,
- Asagba SO 2010. Alteration in the activity of oxidative enzymes in the tissues of male wistar albino rats exposed to cadmium. *Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health*, 23(1): 55-62.
- AOAC 1990. Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). 12th Edition, Washington DC, USA.
- Ayotamuno JM, Kogbara RB & Taleat MO 2006. Bioremediation of a petroleum-hydrocarbon polluted agricultural soil at different levels of water application in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. J. Food Agric. Environ., 4(34): 214-217.
- Chikezie PC, Ibegbulem CO, Monago OS, Mbagwu FN & Nwachukwu CU 2016. Amino acid profiles, total nitrogen contents, and computed-protein efficiency ratios of *Manihot esculenta* root and *Dioscorea rotundata* tuber peels. J. Food Proc., Article ID 1697458, 8 pages.
- Choi CW, Kim SC, Hwang SS, Choi BK & Ahn HJ 2002. Antioxidant activity and free radical scavenging capacity between Korean medicinal plants and flavonoids by assay-guided comparison. *Plant Sci.*, 163: 1161-1168.
- Clark CJ 2003. Field detector evaluation of organic clay soils contaminated with diesel fuel. *Environ. Forens.*, 4(3): 167-173.
- Crapo JD, McCord JM & Fridovich I 1978. Preparation and assay of superoxide dismutases. *Meth. Enzymol.*, 53: 382-393.
- Das N & Chandran P 2011 Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminants: An Overview. *Biotechnol. Res. Int.* Article ID 941810.
- Ekpo FE & Nya EJ 2012 Effect of poultry manure amendments on diesel oil polluted soil on germination and growth performance of forest trees. *J. Res. Environ. Sci. Toxicol.*, 1(17): 195-200.

- Essien EB, Ifeanacho MO & Nwachukwu GA 2015. The impact of cow dung augmentation for remediation of crude oil polluted soil by Eleusine indica. J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage., 19(1): 103-107.
- Gupta RP, Gigras H, Mohapatra GV, Kumar A & Chauhan B 2003. Microbial amylases: A biotechnological perspective. *Proc. Biochem.*, 38: 1599-1616.
- Gutteridge JMC & Wilkins S 1982 Copper-dependent hydroxyl radical damage to ascorbic acid. *FEBS Lett.*, 137: 327–329.
- Johns DG 1967 Human liver aldehyde oxidase: differential inhibition of oxidation of charged and uncharged substrates. J. Clin. Invest., 461: 1492–1505.
- Lichtenthaler HK 1987. Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic membranes. *Meth. Enzymol.*, 148: 350–382.
- Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL & Randall RJ 1951. Protein Measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem., 193(3): 265–275.
- Matheyarasu R, Bolan NS & Naidu R 2016. Abattoir wastewater irrigation increases the availability of nutrients and influences on plant growth and development. *Water, Air Soil Pollut.*, 227: 253.
- Misra HP & Fridovich I 1972. The role of superoxide in the auto-oxidation of epinephrine and a simple assay for superoxide dismutase. *Biochem. J.*, 247: 3170-3175.
- Njoku KL, Akinola MO & Oboh OB 2012. Phytoremediation of crude oil polluted soil: Effect of cow dung augmentation on the remediation of crude oil polluted soil by *Glycine max. J. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 8(1): 277-282.
- Nwaogu LA & Onyeze GOC 2014. Effect of chronic exposure to petroleum hydrocarbon pollution on oxidative stress parameters and histology of liver tissues of native fowl (*Gallus domestics*). Int. J. Biochem. Res. Rev., 4(3): 233-242.
- Obiakalaije UM, Makinde OA & Amakoromo ER 2015. Bioremediation of crude oil polluted soil using animal waste. *Int. J. Environ. Bioremed. Biodegrad.*, 3(3): 79-85.

- Ogbo EM 2009. Effect of diesel fuel contamination on seed germination of four crop plants- *Arachis hypogea*, *Vigna unguiculata*, sorghum bicolor and *Zea mays. Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 8(2): 250-253.
- Okafor UC, Orji MU, Nwankwegu AS, Chikaodili G, Onuorah SC, Archibong EJ, Ifeanyi E, Obika A & Agu K 2016. Effect of chicken droppings amendment on bioremediation of crude oil polluted soil. *Eur. J. Exper. Biol.*, 2248–9215.
- Okolo JC, Amadi EN & Odu CTI 2005. Effects of soil treatment containing poultry manure on crude oil degradation in a sandy loam Soil. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.*, 3(1): 47-53.
- Olubodun SO & Eriyamremu GE 2013. Effect of different crude oil fractions on growth and oxidative stress parameters of maize radicle. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.*, 2(1): 144-154.
- Oyem ILR & Oyem IL 2013. Effects of crude oil spillage on soil physico-chemical properties in Ugborodo community. *Int. J. Modern Eng. Res.*, 3(6): 3336-3342.
- Rani P, Meena UK & Karthikeyan J 2004. Evaluation of antioxidant properties of berries. *Indian J . Clin. Biochem.*, 19: 103-110.
- Singh BB & Steinnes H 1976. Uptake of trace element by barley in zinc polluted soil. *Soil Sci.*, 121(1): 38–43.
- Stirpe F & Della Corte E 1969 Regulation of rat liver xanthine oxidase. Conversion in vitro of the enzyme activity from dehydrogenase (type D) to oxidase (type O). J. Biol. Chem., 244: 3855–3863.
- Tietz NW 1982. Fundamentals of clinical chemistry. 2nd Edition, W.B. Saunders company, USA, pp. 849-944.
- Udoh BT & Ogunkunle AO 2012. Land suitability evaluation for maize (*Zea mays*) cultivation in a humid tropical area of south eastern Nigeria. *Nig. J. Soil Sci.*, 22(1): 1-10.
- Xiao Z, Storms R & Tsang A 2006. A quantitative starchiodine method for measuring alpha-amylase and glutathione activities. *Ann. Biochem.*, 6: 351-148.